

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Mary Elizabeth Pitrello,

4 Petitioner

5 v.

6 G. Najera, et al.,

7 Respondents

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00835-APG-EJY

**Order Granting Extension of Time to File
Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss to
May 29, 2025**

[ECF No. 57]

8
9
10 The respondents ask for an extension of time to file a reply in support of their motion to
11 dismiss Mary Elizabeth Pitrello's amended 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petition. ECF No.
12 57. Good cause appearing, the motion is granted. The court notes that, absent extraordinary
13 circumstances, it is highly unlikely to grant any further extension.

14 I THEREFORE ORDER that the respondents' unopposed second motion for extension of
15 time to file a reply in support of their motion to dismiss [ECF No. 57] is **GRANTED** *nunc pro*
16 *tunc*. **The deadline to reply is extended to May 29, 2025.**

17 DATED this 30th day of April, 2025.

18 
19 _____
20 ANDREW P. GORDON
21 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22
23